## RESEARCH



# Implementing a novel capture and ligation probe-PCR method in mass screen and treatment to support malaria elimination efforts in the China-Myanmar border region

Xiao-dong Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Ya-ling Zhao<sup>2†</sup>, Zu-rui Lin<sup>1†</sup>, Ye Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Yao-wu Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Shi-gang Li<sup>3</sup>, Xiang-rui Guo<sup>3</sup>, Peng Tian<sup>1</sup>, Kai-xia Duan<sup>1</sup>, Chun-li Ding<sup>1</sup>, Qi-yan Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yuan Sui<sup>4</sup>, Shen-ning Lu<sup>5</sup>, Chris Cotter<sup>6,7</sup>, Duo-quan Wang<sup>4\*</sup> and Zhi Zheng<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Mass screening and treatment (MSAT) for malaria elimination lacks an ideal diagnostic tool to allow sensitive and affordable test of the target population in the field. This study evaluated whether Capture and Ligation Probe-PCR (CLIP-PCR) could be used in a field MSAT in Laiza City, Myanmar.

**Methods** On day 0, two dried blood spots were collected from each participant. On day 1, all samples were screened for *Plasmodium* in a 20 m<sup>2</sup> laboratory with workbench, a biosafety cabinet, a refrigerator, a benchtop shaking incubator and a qPCR machine, by four technicians using CLIP-PCR with sample pooling, at a health clinic of the Chinese bordering town of Nabang. On day 2, all positives were followed up and treated.

**Results** Of 15,038 persons (65% of the total population) screened, 204 (1.36%) were CLIP-PCR positives. Among them, 188, 14, and 2 were infected with *Plasmodium vivax*, *Plasmodium falciparum*, and *P. vivax/P. falciparum* mix, respectively. The testing capacity was 538 persons/day, with a cost of US\$0.92 /person. The proportion of submicroscopic infection was 64.7%. All positive individuals received treatment within 72 h after blood collection.

**Conclusion** Using CLIP-PCR in MSAT in low transmission settings can support the malaria elimination efforts in the China-Myanmar border region.

Keywords Malaria, Mass screening and treatment, Capture and ligation probe-PCR, Submicroscopic, Field application

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{Xiao-dong}$  Sun, Ya-ling Zhao and Zu-rui Lin have contributed equally to this work

\*Correspondence: Duo-quan Wang wangdq@nipd.chinacdc.cn Zhi Zheng zhizheng100@126.com; zhizheng@ibms.pumc.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedicated in a credit line to the data.

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

## Background

Mass screening and treatment (MSAT) for malaria is defined as testing of an entire population in a wide geographical area followed by treating only positive individuals, regardless of whether they have malaria symptoms. Such an approach provides important information on the epidemiology of malaria, which can be useful for further disease containment efforts [1], and is more likely to be accepted by the target population than mass drug administration [2]. Moreover, mass prophylactic treatment with primaquine to control Plasmodium vivax outbreaks could be dangerous because of a high rate of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (up to14.8%) in the population of the target district [3]. Although recently the MSAT strategy has received renewed attention in the context of malaria elimination, the World Health Organization (WHO) did not recommend MSAT as an intervention strategy to interrupt malaria transmission, as there is a lack of a highly sensitive and high throughput diagnostic tool that is both cost effective and field-friendly to operate [2, 4].

To overcome this challenge, a high-throughput molecular assay on a 96-well plate platform called Capture and ligation probe-PCR (CLIP-PCR) has been developed [5], enabling direct malaria RNA detection without nucleic acid purification and reverse transcription, with a limit of detection of 0.01 malaria parasite/µL blood or around 0.33 parasite/µL if using 3 mm dried blood spot (DBS) [5, 8]. This meets the target detection limit recommended by the WHO (2 parasites/µL or lower) for PCR-based malaria screening in low-transmission settings [6], while making malaria screening easier and less expensive than microscopy and standard nested PCR [7, 8]. In this method, 18 S rRNA targets in blood samples are released through cell lysis and captured by a series of specific, tailed probes onto the wells of a 96-well capture plate through sandwich hybridization. After removal of extra probes and impurities, bound tailed probes are ligated to form single stranded templates for subsequent qPCR amplification and detection [5]. Recently, an improved version of CLIP-PCR, the multi-section CLIP-PCR (mCLIP-PCR), was developed enabling Plasmodium genus- and species-identification with a much-shortened assay time [9].

Kachin Special Region II (KSR2), a poor mountainous area located in northern Myanmar, shares a land border of 214.6 km with Yingjiang County of Yunnan Province, China. Since the regional conflict in KSR2 in 2012, a large number of internally displaced persons immigrated and resettled along the China-Myanmar border, posing a risk to the achievements of joint cross-border malaria prevention and control activities between China (Yunnan Province) and Myanmar [10–14]. In KSR2 of Myanmar, the incidence of malaria increased from 2.1% to 2012 to 5.1% in 2016 [15]. Besides, an outbreak of *P. vivax* was observed in Laiza City and the surrounding areas in 2016 [16], where the number of malaria cases increased sharply by 2.3 times from 940 in 2015 to 2080 in 2016 [16]. The number of malaria cases imported from Myanmar to Yingjiang County rapidly increased from 35 in 2012 [17] to 185 in 2016 [16], jeopardizing the goal of malaria elimination in Yingjiang County by 2020 [18–20].

In this study, the authors evaluated the feasibility of using CLIP-PCR in MSAT to determine the malaria prevalence in the Laiza district of Myanmar. Understanding the level of malaria prevalence in Laiza district can provide a basis for controlling the *P. vivax* outbreak and reducing the cross-border malaria importation into Yunnan, China.

## Methods

## Study areas and population

The study was conducted from April to May 2017. All Laiza district areas within 2.5 km from the border with China were covered, including urban and suburban regions of Laiza City, six surrounding villages (Hpaw Gyung, Ja Htu Kawng, Mai Sak Pa, La Mai Yang, Mung Lai Hkyet, and Mung Seng Yang), five distant villages (Hpa Lap, Maga Yang, Na Ru, Pa Jau, and Sha-It Yang), four internally displaced persons (IDP) camps (Dum Bung, Je Yang Hka, Hpum Lum Yang, and Woi Chyai), one school and two army camps. The total population included was 23,169 individuals residing in brick concrete bungalows in Laiza City, wooden tile houses in villages, and temporary sheds made of iron sheets and asbestos tiles in IDP camps. The study areas were depicted in Fig. 1.

## Main reagents and equipment

Plasmodium falciparum (Pf)/Pan-LDH rapid diagnostic test (RDT) was the product of Guangzhou Wondfo Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China (lot W05460602WC). CLIP-PCR 2.0 kits were purchased from Diacurate Technology Co., China (Daanrui@126.com), and multi-section CLIP-PCR (mCLIP-PCR) kits for Plasmodium genus and species identification were donated by Peking Union Medical College, Beijing. The related probe sequences are provided in Additional file 1: Table S1. An Omron noncontact infrared forehead thermometer (model MC-720) was the product of Kunshan Reying Photoelectric Co., Ltd. (China), a real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR (qPCR) instrument (model CG-5) was purchased from Shanghai Likang Biomedical Technology Holding Co., Ltd. (China), and a shaking incubator (VorTemp 56) was obtained from Labnet International (USA).



Fig. 1 The study areas of MSAT for malaria

## Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) laboratory

A PCR laboratory was established in a single room of 20  $m^2$  on the first floor of the outpatient department in the Nabang township health clinic (Yingjiang County, China). Worktops covered with ceramic tiles were positioned along the three walls and in the middle of the room. A two-person single-side vertical laminar flow biosafety cabinet was set near the front window at the entrance, and a standard refrigerator was placed to the

right of the biosafety cabinet. The layout of the PCR laboratory is shown in Fig. 2.

## **Blood sample collection**

Sampling was performed by seven trained healthcare workers, who notified the target community one day before the procedure. On the sampling day (day 0), a short community meeting was held to read out the informed consent form and deliver information on malaria and the



Fig. 2 The PCR laboratory layout

associated health issues. Individuals were invited to participate voluntarily and signed informed consent. After registration of the blood test form, the body temperature of each participant was measured with a non-contact infrared forehead thermometer and two blood spots were collected with a trifold Whatman 903 Specimen Collection card. The blood spots on the open trifold card were dried briefly in a ventilated room by hanging the card on a rope for 30–120 min. The cards were then folded and brought to the laboratory in a special storage box on the same day. If a participant had body temperature  $\geq$  37.8 °C or reported fever history within the past week, a rapid diagnostic test (RDT) was performed at the time of blood sample collection and a blood smear was prepared in case of a positive result.

## Plasmodium spp. screening by CLIP-PCR

Four technicians of the testing group placed the blood spot samples collected by the sampling group on the laboratory worktop for overnight drying. The next day (day 1), two dried blood-containing discs 3 millimeters (mm) in diameter were punched from one blood spot of each participant, and screened for *Plasmodium* spp. using CLIP-PCR with a matrix pooling strategy [5, 7]. Briefly, samples were placed in a  $10 \times 10$  matrix. One disc from each sample in a raw was pooled, and separately one disc from each sample in a column was pooled. To prevent potential cross-contamination, after each punch, the metal puncher head was dipped in 70% alcohol and flamed over an alcohol burner for 3–5 s, let cool and

punch three times on a blank filter paper, before next use on a different blood spot. Pooled discs were placed into a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube and incubated with 170  $\mu$ l ( $\mu$ L) of lysis solution for 30 min with shaking (1200 rpm) at 56 °C to lyse the cells. Then, 100  $\mu L$  was transferred to each well of a 96-well capture plate and was added 1  $\mu$ L of probe mix for capturing 18 S rRNA of genus Plasmo*dium*. The solution was incubated with shaking (800 rpm) for 120 min at 56 °C to capture the target onto the plate. After plate-washing the bound detection probes on the target were ligated at 37 °C for 10 min to form a template for subsequent qPCR amplification in the same well with SYBR Green chemistry, using primers specific for the genus probes. For positive raw-pools and column-pools, their "intersection" samples were candidate positives and were further confirmed by assaying the individual samples without pooling, using CLIP-PCR for either Plasmodium spp. or Plasmodium genus and species (see below), while others were declared negative.

Throughout screening cultured *P. falciparum* 3D7 lysate was used as kit positive control and was placed randomly in the plate; several no-template controls were used as negative controls placed in wells next to the positive control. As an internal control to validate CLIP-PCR, blood smears of Day 0 RDT-positive participants were first confirmed by microscopy according to WHO recommendations [21, 22], the corresponding blood spots were included, in a random manner, in the group of samples collected on the same day for subsequent CLIP-PCR tests by four technicians blind to sample identity.

Plasmodium genus and species determination by mCLIP-PCR The positives from areas where P. falciparum was reported in the preceding two years were analysed for genus and species determination by multi-section CLIP-PCR (mCLIP-PCR) [9]. Briefly, a dried blood disc 3 mm in diameter was placed into a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube and incubated with 120 µL of lysis solution for lysis. Afterwards 100 µL was transferred to a well of a 96-well capture plate and was added 1 µL of probe mix, containing both genus probe mix and species probe mix, for capturing Plasmodium genus-specific, P. falciparum speciesspecific and P. vivax species-specific sections of 18 S rRNA onto the plate. After plate-washing and a 50 µL probe-ligation reaction, the plate was heated for 1 min at 90 °C to release the ligated products, and 5 µL was transferred to a new PCR plate well for genus identification by qPCR performed in a total volume of 25 µL/well containing primers specific for the genus probes. Genus-positive samples were further assayed for species identification by transferring another 5  $\mu$ L to a new well for qPCR, either with primers for the *P. falciparum* species-specific

probes, or with primers for the *P. vivax* species-specific probes.

## **RDT confirmation and treatment**

On day 2, positive CLIP-PCR or mCLIP-PCR results were provided to a clinician who was responsible for the follow up of the participants. An additional RDT was performed on these positive participants to confirm some of the positives, and to see how many of these positives were below the detection limit of RDT. Regardless of the RDT results treatment was initiated to each positive participant according to WHO recommendations.

## Statistical analysis

The database was generated using Microsoft Excel 2010 and analyzed using descriptive statistics (SPSS Statistics version 20). The daily testing capacity was expressed as the number of CLIP-PCR-screened participants per day. The screening cost per person was determined as the actual purchase price of CLIP-PCR reagents divided by the number of screened participants. The CLIP-PCRdetermined parasite prevalence rate (%) was calculated as the number of positive results divided by the total number of screened participants. In RDT confirmation of PCR results, the samples were regarded as microscopic when RDT results were negative. The percentage of submicroscopic infections was calculated relative to the number of positive samples.

## Results

## Blood sample collection

A total of 15,038 participants were sampled from April 26 to May 23, 2017, with a population coverage rate of 64.91% (15,0381/23,169). There were 7260 men and 7778 women; the average age of the participants was  $24.34\pm17.66$  years (range, 0.5-93 years). On Day 0, a total of 118 individuals were tested by the RDTs. Among them 75 had fever (body temperature  $\geq$  37.8 °C) on site and 43 had fever history within one week, and 9 of the 118 were found RDT-positive. Among them, 8 were tested positive for *P. vivax* and 1 for *P. falciparum* by microscopy on Day 1.

## Plasmodium spp. screening

A total of 204 positive samples were identified among the 15,038 blood samples using CLIP-PCR kits for 35 plates. The PCR-based parasite prevalence rate was 1.36% (204/15,038), the testing capacity was 537 samples/day (15,038/28), and the screening cost was about US\$ 0.92 /person (\$13,835/15,038). The 9 RDT-positive samples were confirmed by CLIP-PCR. The sensitivity and specificity of CLIP-PCR compared with microscopy were both 100%.

#### Plasmodium genus and species determination

Most of the surveyed areas in this study reported only *P. vivax* in the preceding two years and, therefore, individually-confirmed CLIP-PCR positive samples from these areas were considered *P. vivax* infections without further testing. The 53 CLIP-PCR positive samples from areas where *P. falciparum* was reported in the preceding two years were analysed for genus and species determination by multi-section CLIP-PCR (mCLIP-PCR). Among them, 53 were confirmed positive at the genus level by mCLIP-PCR with an agreement rate of 100% compared with CLIP-PCR. The species identification with mCLIP-PCR was 35 *P. vivax*, 14 *P. falciparum*, 2 *P. vivax/P. falciparum* mix and 2 negative. The 9 RDT-positive samples were identified at the species level by mCLIP-PCR with the same results as microscopy.

## **RDT confirmation**

On day 2, all of 204 CLIP-PCR positive participants were followed up with RDT confirmation. Among them, 132 of 204 were RDT negative and were regarded as submicroscopic or subpatent, giving rise to a submicroscopic infection rate of 64.71% (132/204). Among the 72 RDT positives, 9 were confirmed *P. falciparum* or mixed species positive and 63 were *P. vivax-* or other non-*P. falciparum* positive (Table 1). The results of species identification with mCLIP-PCR and RDT were shown in Table 2.

## Treatment and geographical distribution

The 204 patients screened positive with CLIP-PCR received treatment, among them, 188, 14, and 2 were treated as *P. vivax*, *P. falciparum*, and mixed *P. falciparum*+*P. vivax*, respectively. The proportion of *P. vivax*-infected participants was 92.2% and the ratio of *P. falciparum* to *P. vivax* infection was 0.09. The geographical distribution of malaria is summarized in Table 3. The MSAT screening workflow in this study is outlined in Fig. 3.

 Table 1
 The results of RDT confirmation for 204 samples

 screened positive by CLIP-PCR

RDT results	Number of cases
<i>P. vivax-</i> or other non- <i>P. falciparum-</i> positive	63
P. falciparum- or mixed species-positive	9
Negative	132
Total	204

 Table 2
 The results of species identification with mCLIP-PCR and RDT\*

mCLIP-PCR determination		RDT confirmation	% RDT confirmed	
P. vivax	35	<i>P. vivax-</i> or other non- <i>P. falciparum</i> species-positive	21	60%
P. falciparum P. falciparum+P. vivax	14 2	<i>P. falciparum- or P. falciparum/P. vivax-</i> positive	9	56%
Genus positive but both species negative	2	Negative	23	-
Total	53	Total	53	-

\*Those CLIP-PCR positive samples from areas where both *P.vivax* and *P. falciparum* cases were reported in the preceding 2 years were subjected to species-identification by mCLIP-PCR and RDT

### Discussion

For decades since the invention of PCR, most molecular diagnostic innovations focused exclusively on amplification and detection to improve the sensitivity and specificity, but few focused on preanalytical process to reduce the complexity of molecular assays, which is the real impediment to their widespread applications. CLIP-PCR is conceptually similar to ELISA, with the capture probe and detection probe being analogous to the capture antibody and detection antibody. Subsequent PCR amplification is a signal amplification (rather than target amplification) analogous to the enzymatic cascade for signal detection in ELISA. Furthermore, the ligation step in CLIP-PCR affords two important benefits ELISA cannot have: (1) significantly better specificity and reduced background, as individual probes will not generate signal; and (2) ability to significantly avoid contamination, as it is only the ligated probes that are being detected. The reduced complexity of CLIP-PCR makes it possible to achieve high-throughput and sensitive molecular screening.

Contamination, high cost associated with tedious procedures, and low throughput are some of the challenges in PCR-based detection. Therefore, the WHO requires that a laboratory performing PCR analyses



Fig. 3 The flow chart of the screening. (1) The boldface in the brackets were the results. (2) DBS: Dried Blood Spots. (3) RDT: Rapid Diagnosis Test. (4) Pv P. vivax. (5) Pf P. falciparum.

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

Study area Dista Laiza	Distance from Laiza City	No. of screened participants	No. of screened positive	The PCR-detected parasite prevalence rate (%)	Final species identification (No.)		
					P.v	P.f	Mix
Sha-it Yang Village	Far	89	17	19.10	4	11	2
Laiza City	-	2903	82	2.82	82	0	0
Je Yang Hka IDP Camp	Near	3589	74	2.06	74	0	0
Ja Htu Kawng Village	Near	108	2	1.85	1	1	0
Mai Sak Pa Village	Near	229	3	1.31	1	2	0
Mung Seng Yang Village	Near	396	4	1.01	4	0	0
Woi Chyai IDP Camp	Near	1114	7	0.63	7	0	0
Hpunlum Yang IDP Camp	Near	1981	11	0.56	11	0	0
Dum Bung IDP Camp	Near	227	1	0.44	1	0	0
Border School	Near	528	1	0.19	1	0	0
Army Camps	Near	1827	2	0.11	2	0	0
La Mai Yang Village	Far	34	0	0	0	0	0
Mung Lai Hkyet Village	Far	700	0	0	0	0	0
Hpaw Gyung Village	Far	144	0	0	0	0	0
Pa Jau Village	Far	398	0	0	0	0	0
Hpa Lap Village	Far	47	0	0	0	0	0
Maga Yang Village	Far	603	0	0	0	0	0
Na Ru Village	Far	121	0	0	0	0	0
Total		15,038	204	1.36	188	14	2

#### Table 3 Malaria geographical distribution in the study areas

with diagnostic purposes be divided into at least three physically separated compartments for reagent preparation, sample preparation, and amplification and product detection [22, 23]. In this study, CLIP-PCR was successfully conducted in one room with only a biosafety cabinet, a refrigerator, a desktop shaking incubator (Shaker) and a qPCR machine (Fig. 2), suggesting that it is feasible to accomplish CLIP-PCR-based diagnostics regardless of laboratory space compartmentalization, provided that standard measures to prevent contamination are observed. For example, standard cares were taken to prevent cross-contamination at the sampling stage (e.g., by use of trifold sampling cards, and flaming of puncher head after each punch). In CLIP-PCR, most of the target RNA is captured to the bottom of the well, not in the solution, making it less prone to aerosol-related crosscontamination. Any unbound, cross-contaminating target RNA is unable to be a source of signal as, most importantly, what is amplified is not the target RNA but instead the ligated bound probes, which remain bound at the bottom. The amplification template does not form until after the ligation step ligated the bound probes right before amplification. These features make CLIP-PCR less prone to cross-contamination and suitable for operation in a multi-well plate format even at a room other than dedicated PCR clean-room. This study also demonstrated that a CLIP-PCR laboratory setup bypassing nucleic acid extraction would help solve the challenges of timely PCR diagnosis and prompt treatment [2, 24, 25], with a solution for MSAT for malaria: sampling on day 0, PCR screening on day 1, and treatment on day 2. In this study the CLIP-PCR testing capacity was 538 persons/day and the screening cost was only US\$0.92 /person. Although it has been reported that CLIP-PCR detection can be conducted by pooling up to 36 samples/well [5] and that the cost per sample decreases even more with the increase in the number of pooled samples [7], the matrix pooling strategy for high-throughput screening requires concentration from the laboratory staff, and the possibility of a sample mishandling increases with the sample pooling number. Therefore, this study decided on a  $10 \times 10$ matrix pooling strategy, with a double-checking mechanism intrinsic to the matrix pooling approach to minimize possible error (i.e. each positive sample should also have its raw-pool and column-pool both positive. If not, something is wrong). The screening capacity was limited to <600 persons/day per qPCR machine, based on the sample collection speed and processing capacity of the shaker and PCR machine.

Compared with "ordinary" PCR with pooling [26, 27], which is another efficient and valid means for large scale malaria screening, CLIP-PCR with pooling has the following important advantages: (1) It does not involve nucleic acid extraction, therefore is more amenable to

high throughput processing, and is much less concerned with sample contamination or nucleic acid degradation during- or post-extraction; It is also much less expensive than using automated DNA/RNA extraction devices. (2) The CLIP-PCR assay can test pooled DBS samples without losing sensitivity [5], as all the background RNAs from the negative samples are washed away without interfering the subsequent amplification. In contrast, in standard PCR with pooling, either the extraction or the amplification efficiency may be adversely affected in pooled samples, when interfering background nucleic acids in negative samples were added. (3) CLIP-PCR is less prone to cross contamination than ordinary PCR. CLIP-PCR does, however, require a qPCR machine, which can be too costly for laboratories in resource-limited countries. A similar strategy using LAMP [28] may reduce the overall setup cost.

The performance of CLIP-PCR, nested PCR, and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) have been evaluated by Zhao et al. in testing of 1,005 individual samples collected from Laiza City and surrounding areas in 2015 [29]. The author claimed significantly lower sensitivity of CLIP-PCR than the other molecular methods [29], in contrast to similar field comparisons from the same reported area [7–9]. One important flaw in Zhao et al. [29] as a comparison study was that the CLIP-PCR was evaluated using only dried blood spot (DBS) samples with unknown quality, while nested PCR, qRT-PCR were all evaluated with fresh blood sample only [29]. No attempt was made to evaluate the nested PCR, gRT-PCR using the same DBS samples, while when CLIP-PCR was evaluated using standard blood samples the same high sensitivity of 0.01 parasites/µL as the other molecular methods was observed by Zhao et al. [29]. Therefore, the conclusion of Zhao et al. [29] remains questionable. In a recent screening of 4,580 asymptomatic DBS samples, a side-by-side comparison of CLIP-PCR genus assay with standard qPCR on a subset of 100 asymptomatic DBS samples showed 100% agreement between the two methods, with high correlation ( $R^2 = 0.81$ ) between Cq values of the two methods [9].

As both CLIP-PCR and mCLIP-PCR were originally validated with standard qPCR using dried blood spots [5, 9], and as most standard PCR setups require high-level laboratory conditions and are not suitable for high-throughput screening [24], this study no longer verified CLIP-PCR results using other PCR protocols in the field laboratory. Instead, quality control with no-template controls, kit positive controls (cultured *P. falciparum* 3D7 lysates), as well as 9 RDT-positive samples identified on day 0 in the same population, was used. The RDT positives included 8 confirmed positive *P. vivax* and 1 confirmed positive *P. falciparum* by

microscopy on day 1. Blind screening with CLIP-PCR indicated 100% positivity, and mCLIP-PCR identified the expected species.

As shown in Table 3, according to PCR results malaria prevalence was the highest in Sha-it Yang Village (19.10%), which can be attributed to its location. Sha-it Yang Village is far from Laiza City (Fig. 1) in a remote valley close to the forest, where an ethnic army camp was set up, indicating a high risk of malaria transmission in conditions where medical service was not easily accessible [30]. The study findings also showed that malaria was mainly detected in Laiza City and nearby areas, with the highest prevalence in the city, whereas no cases were detected in distant areas. One reason for such distribution of cases could be that Laiza City is situated in a hot, low-altitude, densely populated area, where malaria transmission is perennial with a seasonal peak, whereas in the distant high-altitude areas (except for Sha-it Yang Village), the transmission is interrupted in the cold season [16]. Another reason is that this study started at the end of April 2017 - the early stage of the malaria epidemic peak in Laiza City, which experienced the *P. vivax* outbreak in 2016 [16]; thus, there could be a large number of asymptomatic carriers and newly infected individuals in Laiza City during the study period. This study showed that the total PCRbased parasite prevalence rate in the analyzed population was 1.36% and that the *P. falciparum/P. vivax* ratio was 0.09, which are indicative of a very low transmission area according to the WHO categorization [31]. The proportion of subpatent infection was 64.7%, which was similar to the 67% rate of submicroscopic P. vivax infection reported by Moreira et al. [32] and Cheng et al. [33], indicating a very large potentially infectious parasite reservoir in the study area.

This study has some limitations. Only 64.9% of the target population was covered by the screening. Although the sample collection team informed the target community one day in advance, some residents remained unwilling to volunteer, whereas others could not come in time, especially those who often work in the forest and are therefore at a high risk. This may have caused sampling bias. These results indicate that MSAT needs efficient logistics support. Furthermore, the PCR laboratory was established on the Chinese side of the border because of safety concerns, accommodation of researchers, and overall better conditions than in the Myanmar area under study. Although the laboratory was only 200 m away from some of the collection sites, it was not located in the field per se. Lastly, the rate of submicroscopic infection was calculated based on RDT results not on microscopy analysis, the actual number may be smaller.

## Conclusion

A strategy to include CLIP-PCR in MSAT for low transmission settings has the advantages of improved diagnostic sensitivity, high throughput, overall cost effectiveness, and timeliness of diagnosis and treatment. All these factors can be potential improvements to conducting MSAT in low transmission areas to support malaria elimination efforts in the GMS region.

#### Abbreviations

CLIP-PCR	Capture and ligation probe PCR
KSR 2	Kachin special region II
mCLIP-PCR	Multi-section capture and ligation probe PCR
MSAT	Mass screening and treatment
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
qPCR	Real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR
RDT	Rapid diagnosis test

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12936-023-04449-x.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Probe sequences.

#### Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Health Poverty Action and Health Department of Kachin Special Region II for providing human resources. Their participation is the cornerstone of the success of this study. We are grateful to Nabang Township Health Center for providing laboratory room, logistics and human resources.

#### Author contributions

X-D S and Z Z conceived and designed this project. X-D S and Z-R L organized and supervised the field implementation, X-D S, Y-L Z, Y Z, C-L D, Z-R L, S-G L, X-R G, P T, K-X D, S-N L, Y S and Q-Y C performed the fieldwork, collected and interpreted data. X-D S and ZZ wrote the manuscript draft and X-D S prepared the Figs. 1 and 2 and Z Z, D-Q W, C C and YS revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The design, implementation, analysis, interpretation of data and writing the manuscript of the study were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81960374), the technology and kits of mCLIP-PCR in the study were supported by National Major Science and Technology Projects (2018ZX10101001) and by Overseas Expertise Introduction Center for Discipline Innovation ("111 Center") (BP 0820029) to Z.Z.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Yunnan Institute of Parasitic Disease (YIPD, China) and the Health Department of KS2 of Myanmar approved the study protocol. the Ethics Committee of YIPD approved the study [Reference number: YIPD-EC-2017(4)]. All participants were informed in native language by given a short meeting. Individuals voluntarily participated and legally signed the informed consent before blood collection. For children under 12, consent from parents or guardian was signed before blood collection. There was not any participant's personal identification information in this article.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Yunnan Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Yunnan Provincial Collaborative Innovation Centre for Public Health and Disease Prevention and Control, Yunnan Provincial Key Laboratory of Vector-borne Diseases Control and Research, Yunnan Provincial Centre of Malaria Research, Puer 665000, China. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; School of Basic Medicine, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100005, China. <sup>3</sup>Yingjiang Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Yingjiang 679300, China. <sup>4</sup>Brown School, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA. <sup>5</sup>WHO Collaborating Centre for Tropical Diseases, National Center for International Research on Tropical Diseases, Key Laboratory of Parasite and Vector Biology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Ministry of Health, National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Tropical Diseases Research, Shanghai 200025, China. <sup>6</sup>Malaria Elimination Initiative, Institute for Global Health Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>7</sup>Department of Women's and Children's Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

Received: 30 June 2022 Accepted: 7 January 2023 Published online: 19 January 2023

## References

- WHO. Evidence Review Group meeting report: mass drug administration, mass screening and treatment, and focal screening and treatment for malaria. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- Lek D, Callery JJ, Nguon C, Debackere M, Sovannaroth S, Tripura R, et al. Tools to accelerate falciparum malaria elimination in Cambodia: a meeting report. Malar J. 2020;19:151.
- Sun XD, Zhang ZX, Deng Y, Wang J, Wei C, Liang GL, Wang H. [A comparative study of acute intravascular hemolysis response to two chloroquineprimaquine regimens to treat *Plasmodium vivax* malaria] (in Chinese). J Pathog Biol. 2012;7:390–2.
- WHO. The role of mass drug administration, mass screening and treatment, and focal screening and treatment for malaria. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- Cheng ZB, Wang D, Tian XY, Sun Y, Sun XD, Xiao N, et al. Capture and ligation probe-PCR (CLIP-PCR) for molecular screening, with application to active malaria surveillance for elimination. Clin Chem. 2015;61:821–8.
- WHO. Policy brief on malaria diagnostics in low-transmission settings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- Zhou YW, Lin ZR, Cheng ZB, Zheng Z, Zhou XW, Zhou ZY, et al. [Efficacy of capture and ligation probe-PCR with pooling strategy in detection of *Plasmodium* spp] (in Chinese). Chin J Parasitol Parasit Dis. 2016;34:330–3.
- Lin ZR, Zhou YW, Sun XD, Xu YC, Cheng MN, Zheng Z. [Comparison of *Plasmodium* detection using capture and ligation probe-PCR and nested-PCR] (in Chinese). J Pathog Biol. 2020;15:682–4.
- Zhao YL, Zhao Y, Sun Y, Fan LH, Wang DQ, Wang H, et al. A direct, sensitive and high-throughput genus and species-specific molecular assay for large-scale malaria screening. Infect Dis Poverty. 2022;11:25.
- Zhang J, Dong JQ, Li JY, Zhang Y, Tian YH, Sun XY, et al. Effectiveness and impact of the cross-border healthcare model as implemented by nongovernmental organizations: case study of the malaria control programs by health poverty action on the China-Myanmar border. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5:80.
- 11. Wang RB, Dong JQ, Xia ZG, Cai T, Zhang QF, Zhang Y, et al. Lessons on malaria control in the ethnic minority regions in Northern Myanmar along the China border, 2007–2014. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5:95.
- Feng J, Liu J, Feng XY, Zhang L, Xiao HH, Xia ZZ. Towards malaria elimination: monitoring and evaluation of the "1-3-7" approach at the China– Myanmar border. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016;95:806–10.
- 13. Zeng XC, Sun XD, Li JX, Chen MN, Deng DW, Zhang CL, et al. Assessment of malaria control consultation and service posts in Yunnan, P. R. China. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5:102.

- Xu JW, Li Y, Yang HL, Zhang J, Zhang ZX, Yang YM, et al. Malaria control along China-Myanmar Border during 2007–2013: an integrated impact evaluation. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5:75.
- Liu H, Xu JW, Yaw B. Malaria burden and treatment targets in Kachin special region II, Myanmar from 2008 to 2016: a retrospective analysis. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0195032.
- Lin ZR, Li SG, Sun XD, Guo XR, Zheng Z, Yang J, et al. Effectiveness of joint 3 + 1 malaria strategy along China-Myanmar cross border areas. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21:1246.
- Lin YX, zhou DL, Guo XR, Yu GC, ChenLF, Si ZS. [Measures and effect of jointing prevention and control of malaria in the border region of China and Myanmar from 2008 to 2014] (in Chinese). Chin Trop Med. 2016;1:52–5.
- Zhou YW, Lin ZR, Yang HL, Sun XD, Zhao XT, Iv Q, et al. [Analysis of the epidemiological characteristics of malaria elimination in Yunnan Province from 2011 to 2019] (in Chinese). J Pathog Biol. 2020;15:1328–31.
- Wei C, Lu N, Yang R, Tang YR, Iv Q, Jiang JY. [Epidemic situation of malaria in Yunnan Province from 2014 to 2019] (in Chinese). Chin J Schisto Control. 2020;32:483–7.
- 20. Gao Q. [Opportunities and challenges of malaria elimination in China] (in Chinese). Chin J Schisto Control. 2011;4:804–10.
- WHO. Basic malaria microscopy: Part I. Learner's guide. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- WHO. Microscopy for the detection, identification and quantification of malaria parasites on stained thick and thin blood films in research settings: procedure: methods manual. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- WHO. Establishment of PCR laboratory in developing countries. 2nd ed. New Delhi: World Health Organization Regional Office for South-East Asia; 2016.
- Zheng Z, Cheng Z. Advances in molecular diagnosis of Malaria. Adv Clin Chem. 2017;80:155.
- 25. WHO/HTM/GMP. Policy brief on malaria diagnostics in low-transmission settings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- Hsiang MS, Lin M, Dokomajilar C, Kemere J, Pilcher CD, Dorsey G, et al. PCR-based pooling of dried blood spots for detection of malaria parasites: optimization and application to a cohort of ugandan children. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:3539–43.
- Chang M, Johnston S, Seilie AM, Hergott D, Murphy SC. Application of dried blood spot sample pooling strategies for *Plasmodium* 18S rRNA biomarker testing to facilitate identification of infected persons in large-scale epidemiological studies. Malar J. 2021;20:391.
- Pian H, Yang M, Sun X, Zheng Z. Sandwich hybridization-based loopmediated isothermal amplification (SHB-LAMP) for high-throughput detection of malaria RNA from asymptomatic infections. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2022;365:131973.
- Zhao YH, Zhao Y, Lv YM, Liu F, Wang QH, Li PP, et al. Comparison of methods for detecting asymptomatic malaria infections in the China-Myanmar border area. Malar J. 2017;6:159.
- 30. Liu H, Xu JW, Guo XR, Havumaki J, Lin YX, Yu GC, et al. Coverage, use and maintenance of bed nets and related influence factors in Kachin Special Region II, northeastern Myanmar. Malar J. 2015;14:212.
- WHO. A framework for malaria elimination. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- Moreira CM, Abo-Shehada M, Price RN, Drakeley CJ. A systematic review of sub-microscopic *Plasmodium vivax* infection. Malar J. 2015;14:360.
- Cheng Q, Cunningham J, Gatton ML. Systematic review of sub-microscopic *P. vivax* infections: prevalence and determining factors. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e3413.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations

BMC

maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

# Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com